UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,607	09/19/2003	Michael J. Chambers	M.CHAMBERS 2-1	6387
47396 HITT GAINES	7590 08/20/200 , PC	8	M.CHAMBERS 2-1 63 EXAMINER WENDELL, ANDREW ART UNIT PAPER 1 2618 NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVER	IINER
LSI Corporation PO BOX 832570			WENDELL, ANDREW	
RICHARDSON, TX 75083			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@hittgaines.com

	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/665,607	CHAMBERS ET	AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANDREW WENDELL	2618				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ANDREW WENDELL</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Steven Hanke</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 07 August 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 11</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Moroo et al. (US 2004/0014460).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed how examiner's view of claims 1 and 11 versus applicant's view of the claims are different. Talked about amendments to further define applicant's invention and to overcome the prior art of record.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPREDICTION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTAN	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
(A. 1						
/Andrew Wendell/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618	/Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2618				